Optimal Delayed Decisions in Encoding Audio Signals

Vinay Melkote and Kenneth Rose

Signal Compression Lab Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering University of California, Santa Barbara

Introduction

- Audio for streaming, storage, gaming, etc., compressed off-line
- Encoding delay not critical to end-user experience
- Encoders typically constrain delay parameters selected frame after frame
- Can delayed decisions improve the quality of coded audio?

Proposed idea

- Increase encoding delay, and optimize decisions across multiple frames
- Encoder modification: no additional decoding delay
- Compatible with standard decoder
- Encoder parameter selection in a Lagrangian-based RD optimization framework
- Navigate intra- and inter-frame parameter space via twolayered trellis

MPEG Advanced Audio Coding (AAC)



Quantization and coding decisions



Window decisions



- Statisstatig Berg Berg Berg Berg Berg Berg Barger Barge
- Insert appropriate transition windows (START and STOP frames)

Sub-optimalities in current encoders

Window decisions:

- ► LONG ↔ SHORT switching typically via heuristics of perceptual entropy or transient detection
- No consideration of effect on neighboring frames that might need to be coded by START/STOP windows

Quantization and coding parameters:

- Scalefactors (SFs) and Huffman codebooks (HCBs) for each scalefactor band (SFB) found via two-loop search
- Choice of SFs and HCBs separated into an inner distortion loop and outer rate loop, respectively
- > Fast, but sub-optimal, parameter selection

Sub-optimalities in current encoders

Bit-distribution across frames:

- Bits can be distributed unevenly to frames, with constraint on the average rate
- > Bit-reservoir technique save bits when possible
- > Myopic approach results in inefficient bit-distribution

Problem statement

- Let P be the set of encoding decisions window choice, scalefactors and Huffman codebooks - for all frames of the file
- The distortion for the entire file, $D_{overall}(P)$, and bit-rate, $R_{overall}(P)$, are dependent on P
- Objective: find $P^* = \arg \min_{P} D_{overall}(P)$ s.t. $R_{overall}(P) \le R_t$
- $\blacksquare R_t \text{ is a target average rate}$
- Additionally, window switching constraints to be satisfied

Prior work

- 1. Optimal time segmentations for the MDCT [Niamut & Heudsens, '04]
- 2. RD optimal block switching [Boehm et al., '06]
- 3. Optimal bit-reservoir control [Camberlein & Philippe, '05]
- 4. Trellis-based optimal intra-frame parameter selection [Aggarwal et al., '06]
- 5. Multiple integer linear programming-based optimal intraframe parameter selection [Bauer, '04]

Overview of the solution

• Convert the rate-constrained minimization to the minimization of an appropriate alternate cost function $J(P, \lambda)$ governed by a parameter λ

> Ex: $J(P, \lambda) = D_{overall}(P) + \lambda R_{overall}(P)$, where λ is the Lagrange parameter

Perform the unconstrained minimization:

$$P^*(\lambda) = \arg \min_P J(P,\lambda)$$

If $R_{overall}(P^*(\lambda))$ not close to R_t , change λ and repeat minimization

Motivation for a trellis approach

Size of the encoding parameter space:

- > SF choices per SFB : 120
- > HCB choices per SFB : 12
- > Window choices per frame : 4
- > Cardinality of the set of values of $P \approx (4 \times (120 \times 12)^{L})^{K}$ L SFBs/frame K frames in the signal
- Naïve search has complexity exponential in the number of frames and SFBs

Two-Layered Trellis: Outer Trellis



Window switching trellis: paths correspond to allowed window sequences

Two-Layered Trellis: Inner Trellis



 Quantization and coding trellis: paths correspond to SF and HCB sets for each frame [Aggarwal et al., '06]



- Split overall cost $J(P, \lambda)$ into per band and per transition costs
- Employs the fact that each inner trellis state/transition is associated with a distortion value and/or number of bits



- Inner trellis path with minimum cumulative cost via Viterbi algorithm: optimal SF and HCB sequence for a frame in a particular window configuration
- Search complexity linear in the number of SFBs: $\approx O(L^*(120^*12)^2)$



- Populate corresponding outer trellis node with the minimum inner trellis cumulative cost
- Obviously, this cost now depends only on the associated window choice



Repeat the inner trellis algorithm in each window configuration for a frame



- Viterbi algorithm in outer trellis for path/window decisions with minimum overall cost $J(P, \lambda)$: provides $P^*(\lambda)$ and $R_{overall}(P^*(\lambda))$
- Outer trellis complexity linear in the number of frames: $\approx O(K*7)$



If rate constraint not satisfied by $R_{overall}(P^*(\lambda))$, repeat search through the two-layered trellis with different λ

 Overall distortion is defined as MTNMR: maximum over frames, of the total noise-to-mask ratio in each frame

$$D_{overall}(P) = \max_{k} \sum_{l} d_{k,l} \qquad 0 \le k \le K-1 \qquad 0 \le l \le L-1$$

- $d_{k,l}$ is the noise-to-mask ratio in SFB l of frame k
- An appropriate cost function can be defined, although *not* the Lagrangian $J(P, \lambda) = D_{overall}(P) + \lambda R_{overall}(P)$

- Codecs under comparison:
 - MPEG reference model (RM): two loop search for SFs and HCBs, transient-detection based windows, bit-reservoir – no delayed decisions
 - Inner trellis-only model (RM-TB): inner trellis-based optimization of SFs and HCBs, transient-detection based windows, bit-reservoir – no delayed decisions
 - Two-layered trellis (TLT): overall optimization delayed decisions

Objective evaluation: in terms of distortion metric. MTNMR



Distortion averaged over 10 different audio samples

All this

without

the

changing

decoder !

Subjective evaluation: multiple stimulus with hidden reference and anchor (MUSHRA) tests



 Scores averaged over 6 different audio samples: bit-rate = 16kbps, sampling rate = 44.1kHz, number of channels = mono

Some samples

$Codec \rightarrow$	Original	RM	RM - TB	TLT
Sample ↓				
Orchestra			Ŵ	
Accordion		Ŵ		
Glocken- spiel				

Summary

- Proposed a two-layered trellis approach for optimal delayed encoding of audio
- Bit-stream compatibility with the standard
- No additional decoding delay
- Substantial gains in objective and subjective quality metrics can be obtained by delayed decisions
- Particularly useful for applications that employ off-line compression