

# Additive Successive Refinement

Ertem Tuncel and Kenneth Rose<sup>1</sup>

Dept of ECE, University of California Santa Barbara, CA 93106, e-mail: ertem@ecc.ucsb.edu, rose@ecc.ucsb.edu

Scalable coding rate-distortion bounds, and conditions for their coincidence with non-scalable rate-distortion bounds, were derived in [4, 3]. However, there has always been an implicit assumption of *tree structured vector quantization* (TSVQ) scheme, which requires extensive memory usage. In most of the practical applications, on the other hand, *additive* structures such as *multi-stage vector quantization* (MSVQ) are preferred. In this paper, we analyze the bounds for MSVQ.

Let  $\{X_t\}_{t=1}^{\infty}$  be a discrete memoryless source taking values from an alphabet  $\mathcal{X}$ . We encode this source in two MSVQ stages, i.e., we have two stage-encoding functions  $f_i : \mathcal{X}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_i$ , and stage-decoding functions  $g_i : \mathcal{F}_i \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{X}}^n$ , where  $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$  is the reproduction alphabet. We adopt the notation  $\hat{X}_1^n = g_1(f_1(X^n))$  and  $\hat{X}_2^n = g_2(f_2(X^n))$  for stage decoder outputs, and  $\hat{Y}_1^n = \hat{X}_1^n$  and  $\hat{Y}_2^n = \hat{X}_1^n + \hat{X}_2^n$  for reconstructed vectors, where  $(\hat{\mathcal{X}}, +)$  forms an Abelian (i.e., commutative) group, and  $+$  is a “single-letter” operator. The quadruple  $(R_1, R_2, D_1, D_2)$  is called  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -achievable if there exist stage-encoding functions  $f_i$  and stage-decoding functions  $g_i$  with

$$n(R_i + \alpha) \geq \log |\mathcal{F}_i| \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (1)$$

$$n(D_1 + \beta) \geq E \sum_{t=1}^n d(X_t, \hat{X}_{1t}) \quad (2)$$

$$n(D_2 + \beta) \geq E \sum_{t=1}^n d(X_t, \hat{X}_{1t} + \hat{X}_{2t}). \quad (3)$$

## Theorem 1 (Sufficient Conditions for Achievability)

If there exist random variables  $\hat{X}_1$  and  $\hat{X}_2$ , jointly distributed with source variable  $X$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} I(X; \hat{X}_1) &\leq R_1 + \alpha \\ I(X; \hat{X}_2) &\leq R_2 + \alpha \\ I(X; \hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2) + I(\hat{X}_1; \hat{X}_2) &\leq R_1 + R_2 + 2\alpha \\ Ed(X, \hat{X}_1) &\leq D_1 + \beta \\ Ed(X, \hat{X}_1 + \hat{X}_2) &\leq D_2 + \beta, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

then the quadruple  $(R_1, R_2, D_1, D_2)$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -achievable.

The proof is very similar to the one used by El Gamal and Cover for the multiple descriptions problem [2].

## Theorem 2 (Necessary Conditions for Achievability)

If the quadruple  $(R_1, R_2, D_1, D_2)$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -achievable, then there exist random variables  $\hat{X}_1$  and  $\hat{X}_2$ , jointly distributed with source variable  $X$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} I(X; \hat{X}_1) &\leq R_1 + \alpha \\ I(X; \hat{X}_2) &\leq R_2 + \alpha \\ I(X; \hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2) &\leq R_1 + R_2 + 2\alpha \\ Ed(X, \hat{X}_1) &\leq D_1 + \beta \\ Ed(X, \hat{X}_1 + \hat{X}_2) &\leq D_2 + \beta. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

<sup>1</sup>This work was supported in part by the NSF under grant no. MIP-9707764, EIA 9986057, the UC MICRO program, Conexant Systems, Inc., Dolby Laboratories, Inc., Lucent Technologies, Inc., Media Stream, Inc., and Qualcomm, Inc.

We call  $(R_1, R_2, D_1, D_2)$  *achievable*, if it is  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -achievable for all  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ .

## Definition 1 (Scalable Coding Bound) [4]

The rate-distortion bound for scalable coding is given by

$$R(D_1, D_2, R_1) = \inf_{\substack{p(\hat{y}_1, \hat{y}_2|x) \\ \text{s.t. } I(X; \hat{Y}_1) \leq R_1 \\ Ed(X, \hat{Y}_1) \leq D_1 \\ Ed(X, \hat{Y}_2) \leq D_2}} I(X; \hat{Y}_1, \hat{Y}_2) \quad (6)$$

## Theorem 3 (The Special Case of No-excess-rate)

When  $R_1 + R_2 = R(D_1, D_2, R_1)$ , a quadruple  $(R_1, R_2, D_1, D_2)$  is achievable if and only if there exist random variables  $\hat{X}_1$  and  $\hat{X}_2$ , jointly distributed with source variable  $X$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} I(X; \hat{X}_1) &\leq R_1 \\ I(X; \hat{X}_2) &\leq R_2 \\ I(\hat{X}_1; \hat{X}_2) &= 0 \\ I(X; \hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2) &\leq R_1 + R_2 \\ Ed(X, \hat{X}_1) &\leq D_1 \\ Ed(X, \hat{X}_1 + \hat{X}_2) &\leq D_2. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The proof follows virtually the same lines as the multiple descriptions proof in [1, Section IV].

**Example:** Let  $\mathcal{X} = \hat{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{R}$ , and let  $X \sim p(x)$ . If the Shannon Lower Bound (SLB) is tight at distortion  $D_1$ , we observe that  $p(x, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2)$  satisfies all conditions in (7) for  $D_2 < D_1$  and  $R_1 = R(D_1)$ ,  $R_1 + R_2 = R(D_1, D_2, R_1) = R(D_2)$  if

$$p(x, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2) = \frac{q(\hat{x}_1) e^{-\frac{1}{2D_2}(x-\hat{x}_1-\hat{x}_2)^2 - \frac{1}{2(D_1-D_2)}\hat{x}_2^2}}{2\pi\sqrt{D_2(D_1-D_2)}},$$

where  $q(\hat{x}_1)$  is the reproduction pdf that achieves  $D_1$  and  $R_1 = R(D_1)$ . Hence, when SLB is tight, lossless successive refinement is possible not only in the TSVQ sense, but also in the additive-coding (MSVQ) sense.

**Counterexample:** Let  $\mathcal{X} = \hat{\mathcal{X}} = \{0, 1, 2\}$ , with Hamming distortion measure, and let “+” be defined as modulo 3 summation. Let  $p_0 \geq p_1 \geq p_2$ . This source is successively refinable in the usual (TSVQ) sense [3], i.e.,  $R(D_1, D_2, R_1) = R(D_2)$ . However, the conditions (7) are not satisfied for any  $D_1 > 2p_2$ . Hence this is an example of a source that is everywhere successively refinable but is not everywhere additively refinable.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. Ahlswede. The rate-distortion region for multiple descriptions without excess rate. *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, 31(6):721–726, November 1985.
- [2] A. El Gamal and T. M. Cover. Achievable rates for multiple descriptions. *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, 28(6):851–857, November 1982.
- [3] W. II. R. Equitz and T. M. Cover. Successive refinement of information. *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, 37(2):269–275, March 1991.
- [4] B. Rimoldi. Successive refinement of information: Characterization of the achievable rates. *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, 40(1):253–259, January 1994.